## CONDITIONS OF USE FOR THIS PDF

The images contained within this PDF may be used for private study, scholarship, and research only. They may not be published in print, posted on the internet, or exhibited. They may not be donated, sold, or otherwise transferred to another individual or repository without the written permission of The Museum of Modern Art Archives.

When publication is intended, publication-quality images must be obtained from SCALA Group, the Museum's agent for licensing and distribution of images to outside publishers and researchers.

If you wish to quote any of this material in a publication, an application for permission to publish must be submitted to the MoMA Archives. This stipulation also applies to dissertations and theses. All references to materials should cite the archival collection and folder, and acknowledge "The Museum of Modern Art Archives, New York."

Whether publishing an image or quoting text, you are responsible for obtaining any consents or permissions which may be necessary in connection with any use of the archival materials, including, without limitation, any necessary authorizations from the copyright holder thereof or from any individual depicted therein.

In requesting and accepting this reproduction, you are agreeing to indemnify and hold harmless The Museum of Modern Art, its agents and employees against all claims, demands, costs and expenses incurred by copyright infringement or any other legal or regulatory cause of action arising from the use of this material.

# NOTICE: WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

# THE DOCUMENTS IN THIS FOLDER HAVE BEEN SEVERELY DAMAGED BY WATER.

	Collection:	Series.Folder:
The Museum of Modern Art Archives, NY	MoMA PS1	I.A. 1131

Oct. 12, 1988 The Institute for Art and Urban Resources, Inc The Clocktower 108 Leonard Street New York, NY 10013 212 233-1096 Nex1 Williams P.D. Box 114 Sagaponack, NY 11962 Alanna Heiss
President and Executive Director Dear Meal: Just a note to clarify the dates of your Clocktower show: Exhibition dates: Oct. 30-Nov. 30 Opening: November 7th, 6-8pm Poetry reading by Creeley: Nov. 7th, 8pm I am sorry if there was some confusion. These were the original dates. When I told you a different set of dates, they had been momentarily switched -- which is why I was surprised when you said that these were not the dated I had mentioned.... Oh well. will be sending you loan formsdand arranging for transportation next week. Tom Finkelpearl

	Collection:	Coxine Folder	
The Museum of Modern Art Archives, NY	MoMA PS1	Series.Folder:	
CC300000000000000000000000000000000000		2.8.113	113
			-
	W. Commercial Commerci	6	
81	-		-
Cetober 18 1 Dix	C13	DC7	-
		POL	1
Oct 19 - Dec	14 51		10
			4
Jan. 28 - Ma	1. 22 (	Winder to	-
Jan. 28 - Ma		Vince	
	07 29		
	7		-
Paul Hunter	ECT-592	6	12
		Anthrop , as	
Clocktower			-
	4		1
an the	0-1 10		
0'D. Sept. 18	Oct. 19	( Sport 11)	132
Oct. 23	No. 23	1 5 1	
or so	alea	NAS /	5.67
288	100		
	Cal		The same of
Nort Williams	(516 - 537	- 3629 cut of a	rder
	(30	7	
GE. Brown C	ASSISTA III	T MT	The same of
(JE. Brown)	and the	alway the date	sout
Closes Aug. 17th at	port pur be	Mur Am At. 1 Mor pick- up date: 202-357-138	24/36
Rob 3d	undon, Registrary	105-221-131	
			1
Call Brian &'D To	esday at NEI	Design Program	TI
Can	1985 7188	) / 61	1
1	1985 2188	12	N
angust - 683.	21/	16 64 - A A	24
Moran Ma	1	THE PARTY OF THE P	1
212	//	ella Hon	Y
			4
		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	

The Museum of Modern Art Archives, NY	Collection:	Series.Folder:
	MoMA PS1	I.A. 1131

Since the early 1960s, Neil Williams has rigorously engaged his painting to a constant criticism of form and material. These paintings lend themselves to such keen observation because of the quality of intelligence they evidence. They have become a somewhat ironic celebration of the process and history of their painting, pursuing each other, coherently self-referential.

The earliest shaped work included in this exhibition, Pop Title, 1964 and recently repainted, is among the works with which Williams is most often identified, because of their presence in The Shaped Canvas exhibition at the Guggenheim, 1964, and at the Green Gallery in that year. Whether shaped or not, these early paintings are made up of a system of repeated forms. This repeat of image is clearly a specific choice, and calls on a play between reductive counterpoints of color and form. In Pop Title, Williams. adds to the possibilities of this repeat by constructing the support so that the exterior and in crior voids of the painting are fully integrated into the run of the image. In his essay for the Guggenheim exhibition Systemic Painting, 1966, which included Williams, Lawrence Alloway theorized the meaning and role of form and image run and the employment of a system in the making of art. Repetion was seen as restoring meaning to the formal object. In Pop Title, although the run and shaping suggest continouous extension, the work remains as an object, a thing in itself.

By 1967, the year in which Williams appeared in the Carnegie International, the artist's production had expanded into the stained field of the monumental, shaped work <u>Presented to the Emperor Chang</u>. The double and reversed reductive chevron invites the eye to travel its surface program of articulated color. The quality and enjoyment of the

The Museum of Modern Art Archives, NY	Collection:	Series.Folder:
	MoMA PS1	I.A. 1131

handling of paint emerges in these fields of suffused color as they run through their components and compliments.

In <u>Haiku</u>, 1974, the chevron or delta-wing shape stands on end, a shape characteristic of William's painting for some years. This familiar shape had become a field on which Williams could exercise his mastery and growing enjoyment of the handling of paint. The complex play of brushwork and color is purely painterly, expressive and acutely aware at once.

In the shaped work of 1981, Williams has focused on a system of repeated patterns that intersect with formally abstracted areas or grounds inhabited by other figures or patterns. Each of these repeated figures is constituted of a number of elements that cooperate in establishing the components of the figure, or is composed of a discrete form, line or bar of color. These works are complex arabesques in tension with geometric forms, vivid with color. Other areas record more painterly device, or drawing with paint. Installed together, because of the overall exterior shape of the support, their objectness remains, and the paintings with the shaped voids that separate them recall the earlier objective repeats of 1964.

	Collection:	Series.Folder:
The Museum of Modern Art Archives, NY	MoMA PS1	I.A. 1131

In the four most recent works, M.E. #1, M.E. #2,

M.E. #3, and M.E. #4, the total vocabulary is in play, as
though Williams had assembled an orchestra of specific instruments and in these paintings, presents the composition
and gives the command to begin ensemble. They are evidently
a sequence of developing ideas, beginning with the establishment of the investigation in M.E. #1. Here, Williams announces a figure/ground, positive/negative, color/ black and
white counterpointss

It could be said that the simple, quatrefoil figures, particularly as they appear in a bar of hotly colored runs, allude in the individual "petals" to the stroke of brush, and as well recall the flowers of Warhol. The individual shapes that constitute the floral figure are also grounds that contain drawing with paint, harmonics. This drawing with paint is in arabesques rather than glyphs. The painting is two-paneled.

In the second of the series, the figure withdraws to the left and then emerging, tumbles in repeats of the figure, activating the surface. Although the work is still composed of two panels, Williams has generated more elements in the panels. IN the right, he gives his attention to specific elements that are implicit or can be located in the first. The upper left section of the right panel may be understood as a concentration on the component of the positive ground of the left panel, a drawing with paint on the ground. The floral figure then tumbles out on a negative ground and floats up to intersect with the introduction of a new element, made up of the material of paint itself.

This area is composed of the skin of dried acrylic paint. In a specific process grown out of the utilization of the hardening, still flexible scum of paint drawn from the can, Williams produces quantities of the material to

The No.	Collection:	Series.Folder:
The Museum of Modern Art Archives, NY	MoMA PS1	I.A. 1131

deploy as an extension of the possibilities of the medium. It refers to the materiality of the paint itself, which composes, one way or another, the rest of this painting, or the notion of painting. Subsumed in the painting, this adhered skin of paint becomes a second ground, and by drawing with paint on the manipulated surface, the artist makes a conundrum of the process he employs.

Now Williams has located the elements and marshalls them with greater command in M.E. #3, by separating each of the areas of investigation into discretely supported elements. Their shape is rectilinear, and the notion of shape is in the painting itself. The referential nature of this series is compounded further in the panel of skin painting, as the artist incorporates not only the material of paint but the tools of the painter. Inseparable from the ground of paint skin are brushes of various sizes, tins. Playing on the significance of paint as skin, Williams introduces flesh color to the previously recorded primary and secondary colors, If it is possible to read without forcing issues a reference to Warhol in the quatrefoil figures, then the De Kooning of the other Marilyns is noted here, and perhaps the artists who have notably incorporated "foreign" material in the matrix of paint, from Poons to Schnabel; in the drawing with paint, Pollack; and, not for the first time, the question of Stella.

In M.E. #4, with the realization that both a recollection of all the elemetrs can be brought into play by referring to their essential components in a more finely specific handling, Williams announces each element by utilizing only what is necessary to identify it fully. The quatrefoil, like most physical things, requires three to establish numbering as multiple. They occur in the left panel. As in M.E. #3, the right section is constructed of three panels in a more or less post-and-lintel articulation. But in the quaterefoil-figured left panel, a broken, serpentine ribbon

The Museum of Modern Art Archives, NY	Collection:	Series.Folder:
	MoMA PS1	I.A. 1131

of red on a white ground becomes an emblem of, perhaps, the consciousness of line in drawing. The lintel panel to the right embellishes what it is to draw with paint, rehearsed from the previous paintings. The lower right panel positions two commas of blue on a black ground, again emblematic. The final panel of skinned paint has a modeled formal quality announced in a regularity of repeats that in their ridges recall the diagonal runs of the paintings of the early 60s, and containing more incorporated material. These repeats may refer to the figure, the shape of an X, which, in the quatrefoil, is anthropomorphic, bilateral if not symmetrical. This painting records movement, its statement interrupted, regarded. Observes with an unfocused gaze, these painterly elements cohere and conspire to unify all of these interior and exterior "references."

I had intended to discuss all of this with Neil Williams, but he told me that if he could talk about these paintings he wouldn't have to do them, or be able to, or something like that. He was sorry I hadn't been able to use my taperecorder. I said that was all right. The paintings say it all.

Edward Leffingwell October 1986

The Museum of Modern Art Archives, NY	Collection:	Series.Folder:
	MoMA PS1	I.A. 1131

ELOCKTOWER

# PRESS RELEASE

The Institute for Art and Urban Resources, Inc The Clocktower 108 Leonard Street New York, NY 10013 212 233-1096

Alanna Heiss
President and Executive Director

For immediate release

For more information: Contact Tom Finkelpearl, 212-233-1096

# NEIL WILLIAMS, JAMES ROSENQUIST, ROBERT CREELEY AT THE CLOCKTOWER

The Institute for Art and Urban Resources announces the opening of schiblions of work by Neil Williams and James Rosenquist along with a poetry reading by Robert Creeley and an open studio show by Tim Casey. The exhibitions will run October 30 through November 30, 1986. The artists' reception, with a reading by Robert Creeley, will take place on Friday, November 7, 1986, 6:00 to 8:00 pm. Admission is by voluntary contribution.

Neil Williams whose paintings will fill the lower galleries, is an abstract painter who began showing in the mid-1960's. He participated in important exhibitions such as The Shaped Canvas at the Guggenheim in 1964 and the Carnegie International in 1967, but this will be Williams' first major New York show in many years. Curated by Edward Leffingwell and Richard Bellamy, this exhibition will bring the strength and vitality of Williams' work back to the attention of the New York audience.

James Rosenquist will show a large new painting in the Tower gallery. Rosenquist's pop work, which emerged at the same time as Williams', serves as a clear contrast to the development of Williams' painterly work.

Robert Creeley is a poet whose condensed style has gained him an international reputation. He will read a group of new works at the November 7th reception.

Tim Casey is a first year resident in the Institute's National Studio Program at the Clocktower. Casey's heavily encrusted surfaces represent objects or buildings integrated within a painterly field of subdued color.

The Clocktower's facility is owned by the City of New York and its programs are supported in part by generous contributions from the Department of Cultural Affairs of New York City.

The Museum of Modern Art Archives, NY	Collection:	Series.Folder:
	MoMA PS1	I.A. 1131

Tom French 212-566-2348

- Rosenqvist 700

- Rosenqvist 700

- 904-683-1985 Steam

- 12-227-2188 566
2348

Williams

L 288-9552 (516)

Brian O'Daynotia 202-682

- Wreland (media) 202-682

5452



The Museum of Modern Art Archives, NY	Collection:	Series.Folder:
	MoMA PS1	I.A. 1131

